**Application Template for the Valorisation Prize**

|  |
| --- |
| **Title of case study** |
| 1. **Summary of the impact** (indicative maximum 100 words)  This section should briefly state what specific impact or societal contribution is described in the case study. |
| 2. **Underpinning research** (indicative maximum 500 words)  This section should outline the key research insights or findings that underpinned the impact, and provide details of what research was undertaken, when, and by whom. References to specific research outputs that embody the research described in this section, and evidence of its quality, should be provided in the next section.  Details of the following should be provided in this section:  • The nature of the research insights or findings which relate to the impact claimed in the case study.  • An outline of what the underpinning research produced by the submitted unit was (this may relate to one or more research outputs, projects or programmes).  • Dates of when it was carried out.  • Names of the key researchers and what positions they held at the institution at the time of the research (if researchers joined or left FASoS during this time, these dates must also be stated).  • Any relevant key contextual information about this area of research. |
| 3. **Valorisation Outputs**  This section should provide references to **key** outputs from the research described in the previous section, and evidence about the quality of the research. References are not only limited to books, journal articles, and chapters, but could also include any atypical outputs that demonstrate the impact of the project; these may include videos, podcasts, news articles, interviews, policy documents, databases, report, digital infrastructures, whitepapers, testimonials, teaching material, or societal engagements within the research process itself (i.e. citizen science or open science projects). Please make sure to provide the full details of the outputs (using APA as much as possible) also including a link to the actual resource.  All FASoS output cited in this section must be listed in Pure. |
| 4. **Details of the impact** (indicative maximum 750 words)  This section should provide a narrative, with supporting evidence, to explain:  • how the research underpinned (made a distinct and material contribution to) the impact  • the nature and extent of the impact    The following may be included:  • A clear explanation of the process or means through which the research led to, underpinned or made a contribution to the impact (for example, how it was disseminated, how it came to influence users or beneficiaries, or how it came to be exploited, taken up or applied).  • Where the submitted unit’s research was part of a wider body of research that contributed to the impact (for example, where there has been research collaboration with other institutions), the case study should specify the particular contribution of the submitted unit’s research and acknowledge other key research contributions.  • Details of the beneficiaries – who or what community, constituency or organisation has benefitted, been affected or impacted on.  • Details of the nature of the impact – how they have benefitted, been affected or impacted on.  • Evidence or indicators of the extent of the impact described, as appropriate to the case being made.  • Dates of when these impacts occurred. |
| **5. Short motivation** (indicative maximum 100 words)  This section should provide a statement about your views on valorisation. |